# THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

# NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but are not incorporated by reference in your plan have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

#### Disclaimers

For guidance related to Educator Evaluation plans, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms related to Educator Evaluation, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Educator Evaluation plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented Educator Evaluation plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this Educator Evaluation plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the Educator Evaluation plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this Educator Evaluation plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

### **Educator Evaluation Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan and that the Educator Evaluation plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that this Educator Evaluation plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.

Assure that it is understood that this LEA's Educator Evaluation plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

# Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

# Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

## **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional

#### subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

### Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

#### MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

#### Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

#### **Collectively attributed measures**

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> or <u>students across</u> buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

> <u>Collectively attributed linked results</u>: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

#### ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or

### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

### Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

## HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	oping	Ineffeo	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16		14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%
%										•										

## **SLO Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Z Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner

consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.

Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.

Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.

Z Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth

parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

# Measures and Assessments

# Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

# Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
Common Branch					
Grade 5					
All non-					
core/elective teachers					
corresponding to the					
grade levels of the					
courses selected					
above(e.g. non-					
core/elective teachers					
in grades 4-8; to list					
non-core/elective					
teachers separately,					
please use the table					
in the following					
section)					
Grade 6 ELA	Collectively	☑ Grade 6 ELA			(No
Grade 6 Math	attributed results	☑ Grade 7 ELA			Response)
Grade 6 Science	(program, school or	☑ Grade 8 ELA			
Grade 6 Social	district-wide measure)	☑ Grade 6 Math			
Studies		☑ Grade 7 Math			
Grade 7 ELA		☑ Grade 8 Math			
Grade 7 Math		☑ Algebra I Regents			
Grade 7 Science					
Grade 7 Social					
Studies					
Grade 8 ELA					
Grade 8 Math					
Grade 8 Science					

# Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

# Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
Grade 8 Social					
Studies					
All non-					
core/elective teachers					
corresponding to the					
grade levels of the					
courses selected					
above(e.g. non-					
core/elective teachers					
in grades 4-8; to list					
non-core/elective					
teachers separately,					
please use the table					
in the following					
section)					
☑ Grades 9-12 ELA	☑ Collectively	☑ ELA Regents			(No
All High School	attributed results	☑ Algebra I Regents			Response)
Regents Math	(program, school or	☑ Living Environment			
Courses	district-wide measure)	Regents			
All High School		Global History			
Regents Science		Regents			
Courses		☑ US History			
All High School		Regents			
Regents History					
Courses					
All non-					
core/elective teachers					
corresponding to the					

03/01/2024 03:29 PM

# Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

# Page Last Modified: 02/29/2024

		1	1	1	
Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
grade levels of the					
courses selected					
above(e.g. non-					
core/elective teachers					
in grades 4-8; to list					
non-core/elective					
teachers separately,					
please use the table					
in the following					
section)					

# Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

# Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

# Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

#### Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

# **Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

### Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

## At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

#### How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

☑ Each component is weighted equally and averaged

## Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?

Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

- Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.
- Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

☑ Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

# **Teacher Observation Scoring Bands**

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category			
	Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum		
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74		

\* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be

assigned.

#### **HEDI Ranges**

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

Using the are below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the Task 4. Tm (Us 175re 368ropdoM71a g rng )Tj /P eMCID 3 >>BDTD /T1\_1 19070022671557002358M88kmangg

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

#### **Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

#### Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

\* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

#### Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

ſ		
Ī		

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

### **Teacher Observation**

The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person, by live virtual observation, or by recorded video, as determined locally.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

#### **Required Subcomponents**

• At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

• At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

- At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.

\* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

#### Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer.
- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

#### **Observation Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

#### Number and Method of Observation

- At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

#### trained administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, <u>at least one</u> observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	☑ In person
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	N/A	Not applicable
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	N/A	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable

#### Does the information in the table above apply to all teachers?

So, there are 2 groups of teachers who receive a different number and/or method of observation of each type (e.g., tenured teachers

and probationary teachers; identify the first subgroup below).

Please identify the first subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies. Tenured Teachers



Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

### Peer Observation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Z Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or

Highly Effective in the previous school year.

### Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

# **Category and Overall Ratings**

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

# **Category Scoring Ranges**

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the

ranges listed in the tables below.

	udent Performance DI ratings must be assigned based on the pr	pint distribution below.	Teacher Observation           HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally determined ranges           consistent with the constraints listed below.					
	Overall Student Perfo Category Score and			Overall Observation	on Category			
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum			
н	18	18     20       15     17       13     14		3.5 to 3.75	4.00			
E	15			2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	13			1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
I	0	12	1	0.00	1.49 to 1.74			

# Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category									
		Highly Effective (H)	Ineffective (I)								
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	н	E	D						
Category	Effective (E)	Н	E	E	D						
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	1						
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I						

## **Category and Overall Rating Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- I Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same

LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

# **Appeals Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Solution Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely

and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

☑ Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a teacher's receipt of their overall rating.

# Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1)



# Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/23/2024

Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of time for the
defined in subsequent columns.		teachers
To add additional groups with a different process,		selected to
use the "Add Row" button.		receive a final
		decision from
		the filing of the
		appeal?
	required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section	
	3012-d	
	<ul> <li>The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and</li> </ul>	
	compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures,	
	as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart	
	30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	
	✓ The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of	
	the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education	
	Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board	
	of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of teachers that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of teachers not specified in the table above that may utlize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

#### Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 09/28/2023

### **Teacher Evaluation Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

#### **Assessment Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

#### **Data Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

Assure that scores for all teachers will be reported to SED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per SED requirements.

Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

# Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

# Page Last Modified: 02/07/2024

### **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

# 100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional

subcomponent is selected.

#### Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

# Page Last Modified: 02/07/2024

#### **Required Student Performance Measures**

The required student performance measure for a principal may be either a student learning objective (SLO) or an input model, where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

#### Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

#### **Collectively attributed measures**

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

#### ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

#### · State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- · locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

### Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

## Page Last Modified: 02/07/2024

#### **INPUT MODEL**

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

#### Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

### Assurances

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth

parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2024

# **HEDI Scoring Bands**

Highly	/ Effect	ive	Effecti	ive		Devel	oping	Ineffe	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%		49- 54%							17- <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5 0-4% D</td>				5 0-4% D

# Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

# Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

## Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

#### Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

PENFIELD CSD

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

# **Principal School Visit Category**

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

#### Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum	
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0	
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74	
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74	
1	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74	

\* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

#### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

# Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly

#### Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

# Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

#### Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the

#### Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

#### Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective

#### range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### **Principal School Visits**

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct school visits in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

#### **Required Subcomponents**

• At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

• At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

- At least one school visits must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.

\* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

#### Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

#### • If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal.

• Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

#### **School Visit Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

#### **Number of School Visits**

- At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, <u>at least one</u> school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

#### Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	N/A
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	N/A
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A

#### Does the information in the table above apply to all principals?

☑ No, there are 2 groups of principals who receive a different number of school visits of each type (e.g., tenured principals and probationary principals; identify the first subgroup below).

### Please identify the first subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table above applies.

Tenured

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### Number of School Visits: Subgroup 2

- At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator (supervisor).
- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

#### Please identify the second subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table below applies. Untenured Principals

#### Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	3
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	N/A
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	N/A
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A

#### Independent Evaluator Assurances

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s)

they are evaluating.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

#### Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective

or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

#### Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### **Category and Overall Ratings**

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

#### **Category Scoring Ranges**

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.			F	IEDI ratings m	ool Visit Category ust be assigned based on I the constraints listed below	, ,
	Overall Student P Category Score a					
	Minimum	Maximum				
н	18	20				
E	15	17				
D						

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/20/2024

#### **Appeals Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a principal's receipt of their overall rating.

#### Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:


(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;


(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.



_			



#### Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2024

#### **Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form**

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

2023-24

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page. Penfield LEA Certification 2024.pdf

# PCSD TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN FORM

## PCSD PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FORM

Principal: \_\_\_\_\_

Building(s):\_\_\_\_\_

Tenure Area: \_\_\_\_\_

Per SED Regulations, if a principal is rated as Developing or Ineffective through the overall APPR rating, they will be put on a Principal Improvement Plan. The plan is to be mutually created between the Superintendent and the principal.

PIPs must be implemented by October 1st, or as soon as practicable, after the opening of classes in the school year following the Developing or Ineffective rating.

While PIPs will be reviewed by the Superintendent and principal every thirty (30) school days, Principal Improvement Plans will be implemented for a school year until the next overall rating is available.

Place a check mark in the box next to any domain where support is required.

Shared Vision of Learning School Culture & Instructional Program Safe, Effective, Efficient Learning Environment Community Integrity, Fairness & Ethics Political, Social, Economic, Legal & Cultural Context

Goals to address in	Activities to support	How will improvement	Timeline: (include date
areas identified	improvement:	be assessed?	to assess Plan progress
above:			and effectiveness)

Superintendent's Signature		_ Date	
Principal's Signature		Date	
Name of Union Representative, if present, at meeting (print):			
Please check the appropriate box:	Plan Completed	Plan Continued	

Thomas	
Teachers ion President Signature: Teachers Union Pr <sup>*</sup> ent Name (print):	Date:
Rob Your	
Boar o Educatio residen n ur : Foard of Education resident Name (print):	Date:

2