[redacted]

July 3, 2020

Revised

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Physics Regents
☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Physics Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Physics Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/20/2019

High School English Language Arts

Page Last Modified: 11/20/2019

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

High School ELA: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Physics Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/20/2019

Living Environment Measure and Assessment(s)

Living Environment: Measure Type

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

				☑		

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- **Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;**
- **Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
-

desiD) 624A pent of the i Dax across all classrooms .5 Td (•)Te/subject in the LEA and be a locally

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**UNANNOUNCED Observation Method**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**ANNOUNCED Observation Method**
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her :17 499i ET ice Law,:nt Plan Forms improvement, a timeline for a differentiated activities to support a teacher's improveme in e boxes below.orms Assur those areas.

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:
1) The substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following: (i) In the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
(2) The school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
(3) The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way: The following process is intended for overall ratings of developing or ineffective only.
Stage 1 – No later than ten (10) school days from the date when the teacher receives the annual summative professional performance review [overall rating] or ten school days from receipt of a teacher improvement plan, or ten (10) school days from the date of the occurrence (or omission)arising under the implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan,he/she must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement regarding the performance review to a joint committee that will contain one representative of the teachers union (chosen by the President of the HANNIBAL teachers association) and one representative chosen by the superintendent of schools. This committee shall be called the Appeals Committee. If a teacher is challenging the issuance of an improvement plan, appeals must be filed within ten (10) school days of placement on such plan. Any and all documents and/or materials having relevance to the appeal must be submitted as attachments. A copy of the performance review being appealed must also be included. Due to unforeseen circumstances, an additional extension of five (5) school days may be requested by the teacher and/or HFA for the purpose of filing the appeal. Failure to file an appeal within these time frames shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.
Stage 2 – Within ten (10) school days of receipt of an appeal, the Appeals Committee will decide on each appeal. The committee will issue a formal response to the teacher within this time frame. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the committee’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. If the appeals committee agrees on a resolution of an appeal, the committee's decision is final. If the Appeals committee cannot agree on resolution of an appeal, the appeal will be given to an uninterested party for resolution. This person will be chosen jointly by the Superintendent of Schools and the President of the HANNIBAL Faculty Association. The selection will be madeand the third party will be notified within ten days of the committee's failure to reach a resolution. The judgment of the third party will be made within 60 days of the third parties receipt of the appeal, is final and will not be subject to grievance or other

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2020

Applicable Principals [1]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each mustbe described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

Grade From	Grade To
K	4

Principal Measures [1]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments [1]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure.Assessments shouldonly be selected if applicable to t he

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Physics Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2020

Applicable Principals [3]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each mustbe described on a separate page. Complete this section for the third combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

Grade From	Grade To
5	8

Principal Measures [3]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

*An input model t3b6.results/***MCI13 dership <<illards92 -1.75 Td (A collect31StyleSpan <</MCID 15 >>BDC 0.81Sel) and ancipal 1 Tf 0 -4.2tyleSrConf:942 -1.5 Td (•)T**

-
-

- **District- or BOCES-wide g39ram-specific resultsa -1.5 Tpand**

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.**
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 2 and 3 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 1, 4, 5 and 6 will be weighted 10% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.* **Required S33 404t: Sher Trai/ /Span <</MCthis subc[2: S]ID 1**

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	

D Ij T* (School Visits)Tj EMC /TH <</MCID 1P

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed;and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

APPR PIP.docx

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2020

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

Process Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal: (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following: (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally; (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012- d; and (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of theprincipal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d. Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way: In accordance with New York State Education Law §3012-D and Commissioner's Regulations §30-3.12 the following procedure is the exclusive means to initiate, review and resolve any and all appeals for member's of the HannibalAA. Appeals of annual professional performance reviews are limited to those that rate a tenured principal as "developing" and "ineffective" only. A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity with one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed null and void. In an appeal, the principal has the responsibility of demonstrating a clear and legal right to the relief requested and the responsibility of establishing the facts upon which the petitioner seeks relief . A principal may not initiate an appeal until they receive their overall rating. A pending appeal under this plan will not serve to delay or interfere with the decision of the District to deny tenure or terminate a probationary principal for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons including the principal's performance that is the subject of theappeal, and in no case can an appeal create delays that result in a principal obtaining tenure by estoppels. The Hannibal CSD Annual Professional Performance Review for Principals Appeal Procedure shall be: Stage 1 – No later than ten (10) school days from the date when the principal receives the annual summative professional performance review, he/she must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement regarding the performance review to the Superintendent of Schools. Any and all documents and/or materials having relevance to the appeal must be submitted as attachments. A copy of the performance review being appealed must also be included. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered. The failure to file an appeal within this timeframe shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. Stage 2 – Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of an appeal, the Superintendent of Schools who issued the performance review must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the Superintendent's response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response including any and all additional information. If the Superintendent of Schools upholds the appeal by agreeing that an error has been made, the changes can be made immediately. If the Superintendent disagrees with the documentation provided the appeal is denied . Stage 3 – Should the principal wish to pursue an appeal denial by the Superintendent, the decision shall be rendered by a three person review panel. Within five (5) school days of receipt of the Superintendent of School's denial a request for an appeal of the Superintendent's decision and within five (5) school days of the appeal request, a review panel comprised of one administrator appointed by the HANNIBALAA, an administrator appointed by the Superintendent, and a Board of Education member mutually agreed upon by the HANNIBALAA and the Superintendent shall be appointed. The panel shall issue a written decision on the merits of the appeal no later than thirty (30) school days from the date when the principal filed his/her appeal. The panel's decision shall be final and binding. Non-tenured principals will not have the right to appeal their overall rating or summative annual professional performance review. Non-tenured principals may add a written response to the annual evaluation which will be kept in his/her personnel file with the annual evaluation. Exclusivity of §3012-D Appeal Procedure The 3012-d appeal procedure herein outlined shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a principal's performance review. A principal may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review except as otherwise authorized by law.

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2019

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Hannibal Central Schools
Teacher Improvement Plan

Implementation Date:_____

School: DMK Teacher: Supervisor:

Date of Initial Meeting

NYS Teaching Standards Area I Need for Improvement

_____ Standard I: Knowledge of Students and Student Learning

_____ Standard II: Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning

_____ Standard III: Instructional Practice

Recommendations for Results of the Teacher Improvement Plan

o The teacher hasmet the performance goals identified through the Teacher Improvement Plan

o The teacher has notmet the performance goals identified through the Teacher Improvement Plan

Next Steps:

Hannibal Central School District

Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal_____

School Building_____ Academic Year _____

Deficiency that promulgated the "ineffective" or "developing" performance rating:

Improvement Goal/Outcome:

Action Steps/Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress (lead evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):

December:

March:

Other:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form as a completion of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissi approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, Ed adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA, that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understandings or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with the full implementation of this Plan, and that changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Com may be withheld or forfeited by the district pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify the following with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions such as tenure determinations and removal of ineffective teachers and principals;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed between September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher's or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide the teacher/principal his or her composite effectiveness rating and subcomponent category ratings, if applicable, to the teacher or principal subject to the APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be submitted to the State Education Department in the manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State Individual teacher component scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in the manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the accuracy and/or completeness of the student rosters and subjects assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluators and principal evaluators will include specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners/multilingual learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives a composite rating of Developing or Ineffective in any year will be provided a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan in accordance with Education Law §3012-d(5) by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective negotiations to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with applicable statutes, regulations;
- Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with State statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable MPs of Teaching will be observed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and that observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once.

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each possible rating for each subcomponent and [illegible] subcomponents and categories is at
- Assure that if a student growth measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject for teachers or similar building configurations, programs, [illegible] practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets [illegible] represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner [illegible] school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department [illegible] Subpart 30-[illegible]
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to [illegible] required by State or Federal [illegible] program of the grade [illegible], in the [illegible] grade, one [illegible] required annual instructional hours for each school program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to [illegible] exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for each grade; time devoted to teacher [illegible] shall not be counted toward the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and [illegible] supersede the requirements of section 504 [illegible] qualified student with a disability or [illegible] law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Superintendent Name:

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Administrative Union President Name (print):



Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):