New York State Education Department	RFQ: TeacherParindcipal Practice Rubric Providers (Application Periodmmer/Fall 2011)
	standard(s) of performance.
	 b. Proficient: demonstrated basic competence on standard(s) of performance.
	c. Accomplished: exceeded basic competence on standard(s) of performance most of the time.
	d. Distinguished: consistently and significantly exceeded basic competence on standard(s) of performance.
	e. Not Demonstrated: did not demonstrate competence on or adequate growth toward achieving standard(s) of performance. (Note: If the Not Demonstrated rating is used, the principal/evaluator must comment about why it was used.)
	To ensure rater consistency, the system has a defined process for scoring thrubrics (see Appendix D– McREL's Princial Evaluation System User Guide). To determine a level (categoricatating) of performance, begin in the first column (Developing). If the practice listed in the Developing column describes the principal's performance throughout the year, mark the box beside the descriptor. Continue to work down the column of Developing practices. The evaluator should continue to the Proficientcategory and work down that column, marking all of the practices that describe the principal's work throughout the year. The evaluator should continue to mark all practices that describe the principal's performance under the Accomplished and Distinguished categories. Each responsibility (sub- category) captured in each of the three framework components should be rated a similar fashion. If practices are checked in the Distinguished category, th evaluator should provide an explanatory comment in the space provided at the end of each standard. If nothing is checked for the element, the princial must be rated Not Demonstrated and the evaluator must provide an explanatory comment in that column.
	To ensure consistency in scoring, McREL encourages the district to continue to analyze the rubrics and internally establish agreements on what educational practice looks like at eachcategorical rating. Internal conversation will continue in the district to clearly articulate how each descriptor (behavior) captured in the rubrics will be defined, identified and supported through artifacts, actual performance and/or

	collaborative dialogue.		
5	 Describe and detail your organiza- McREL has provided services to adapt the existing tion's demonstrated ability to adapt and sustain the submitted rubric to align with the requested needs of participating LEAs. 		

nata dita hara tuala la constructione de la constructione de la constructione de la constructione de la constru	mente for a stick suff.
gated to buy training from providers.	ments for participants,
	 PDFs of user guides and technical support documents,
	 a follow-up technical support webinar,
	 access to the Balanced Leadership Profile (BLP), and
	 access to a McREL consultant for implementation questions that may arise during the initial year of im- plementation.
	• If you choose to purchase both the Teacher and Prin- cipal software, we can customize the professional de- velopment workshop to meet your needs.
costs associated with the adoption	Detailed Pricing Information is also provided in the labelled, sealed envelope.
of your teacher or principal rubric evaluation tool, which would in- clude the projected cost(s) for the	Pricing for the software package:
adoption of the practice rubric	- 1–25 principals: \$300/principal/year (Minimum
and any supplemental costs in-	
volved (i.e. training/ instruction, implementation costs, materials,	If you are in this category, please contact McREL to discuss possible options.
etc.).	- 26–49 principals: \$275/principal/year
	- 50 or more principals: \$250/principal/year
	The annual licensing fees in cl de help desk support for technical issues pertaining the online system.
	As part of the Principal Evaluation System, McREL requires a two-day professional development workshop. The cost to implement the system is \$8,000,



TEACHER AND

priate scheduled updates. These evaluation systems align with the literature on best practice; articulate clearly the model of professional educator standards that align with the nationally recognized InTASC standards; and currently impact 116 districts, 9,000 schools, 100,000 teachers, and 1.4 million students across North Carolina.

American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & the National Council on Measurement in Education. (1999). Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

Project 2. Creation and Validation of an Evaluation System for Assessing the Performance of Principals Houston Independent School District, Texas Project period: 2010-2011

McREL also facilitated conversations about the devel- opment of policies and prœesses that would impact the efficacy of the systems.
A McREL research team worked with CNMI leader- ship to translate the goalsof the system into answer

Description of services povided: McREL content experts and researchers are assisting the Central Valley School District (CVSD) in the development, testing, and revision of district-wide evaluation systems for principals and teachers. This initiative is occurring in multiple phases including: initial development, a pilot period, a preliminary revision period, a field test of the systems, and a period for final revisions.

The development initiativebegan with initial meeting between McREL and CVSD leadership to identify what the district intended to accomplish with the evaluation system and surface any potential problems with its development. From this data gathering effort, McREL created a scope of work and timeline for the a revision period overseen by the district Oversight Committee and the Board of Education. During this period, McREL again facilitated the continuing development of policies and pocesses that impact the evaluation system. After revisions of the beta-versions a full field test of the evaluation systems is led by the McREL research team. Similar to the pilot period, participants in the field test are trained by McREL content experts The purpose of the field test is to examine the functioning of the systems under a greater variety of users within "real world" conditions. The field test lasts one school year and is expected to produce a larger range of data allowing for more complex analyses and greater generalization of findings to the district population.

Field test data and feedback is used to produce a final report for the CVSD. After completion of the field test McREL researchers use this information to facilitate a final revision period overseen by the district Oversight Committee. In addition to the finalization of the evaluation systems themselves, McREL facilitates the completion of policies an¢rocesses that impact the evaluation system. Final reports are officially presented to the Board of Education. Hand-over sessions are conducted to ensure that CVSD is prepared to implement and maintain ther evaluation systems.

In addition to this specific project on personnel evaluation systems, McIEL has worked with CVSD since 2008, providing professional development for school leaders, technical assistance for a guaranteed and viable curriculum, professional development for teachers in effective instructional strategies, and technical assistance and support district school improvement efforts.

Project 5. Professional Devlepment for School Leaders and Development and Implementation of Teacher and Principal Evaluation Systems Englewood Schools, Colorado Project period: 2010 – present Description of services provided: Since 2010 McREL has worked with Englewood Schools in Colorado to provide professional development in the Balanced Leadership Framework to 25 district and school leaders. Balanced Leadership is based on McREL's studies of school-level leadership that have produced empirical support for the claim that leaders have a statis-

(re

	tically significant effect on student achievement (Mar- zano, Waters, & McNulty, 2005). Whether the effect is positive depends on the focus of the leadership initia- tive, leaders' ability to lead second order change, and their use of research-based practices to fulfill essential responsibilities. McREL's professional development introduces leaders to six major research findings from McREL's meta- and factor-analyses on principal leadership, and the concepts of shared leadership, balanced leadership, and the Balanced Leadership Framework [™] .
	McREL brought together 25 district and school lead- ers in a collegial learningenvironment to provide in- tensive, ongoing professional development aimed at raising student achievement in their schools. McREL provided large group professional development ses- sions focused on developing a purposeful community, managing change, and choosing the right focus. McREL also provided technical assistance support for implementation of schoolimprovement plans in eight Englewood schools.
	In addition to the professional development and tech- nical assistance Englewood Schools has a site license for McREL's Principal Evaluation System and Teacher Evaluation System. Staff received training in the system processes and have been implementing both systems for almost two years.
	Project 6. Wyoming Educator Evaluation Professional Development and Implementation 27 Wyoming School Districts Project period: 2011
	Description of services provided: This large-scale pro- ject delivered professional development services to 27 schools districts in the sate of Wyoming for imple- menting McREL's Teacher and Principal evaluation systems. These professional development sessions were configured to scale the training in a condensed time schedule using a consortia model. Seven (7) separate trainings were held in strategic locations throughout the state. McREL employed a train the trainer model
Framew1	

	tion.
	Project 7. Validation of Georgia's Teacher and Prin- cipal Evaluation Systems In collaboration with the University of West Georgia for the Georgia Department of Education Project period: 2010
	Description of servicesprovided: McREL worked with the University of West Georgia to validate the Georgia Department of Education teacher (CLASS KEYS) and principal (Leader Keys) evaluation sys- tems that were developed and field tested by research- ers at the University of Georgia.
	The study used both quantitative and qualitative methods to collect evidencen system reliability, con- tent, construct, and the use and interpretation evi- dence. The study was divided into four distinct tasks based on the type of evidence. Task 1 was a quantita- tive analysis of data collected by the GaDOE during the field test. Task 2 used both quantitative and quali- tative analysis to examine survey data. The surveys were developed by McREL and administered to teachers to provide evidence of their experience with the system and perception of system reliability, con- tent, construct, and the use and interpretation of the evaluation system. Task 3 was a qualitative interview analysis. The interviews were developed to collect more detailed information about the system than could be gathered by surveys. Task 4 was a qualitative analysis of a subset of the evaluation documents col- lected during the field test. The final report integrated evidence in all four tasksinto one comprehensive va- lidity report.
 Copies of the organization's ta returns for the past two years, other evidence of fiscal soundnes e.g. annual financial statement fiscal audits, Dunn & Bradstreet reports, etc., submitted as Appendices. 	Please clearly identify and attach this documentation in the Appendix section. ss, ts, et
4. Copy of the organization's 501(c) certificate or State license.	\mathfrak{P} lease clearly identify and attach this documentation in the Appendix section.
 Information as to whether lawsui have been filed against the organ zation for educational and/or fisc 	

	mismanagement, civil rights viola- tions, criminal act(s), or other rea son(s); and indicate the outcom of each instance.	ì-
6.	Information as to whether the or ganization has been denied th ability to conduct business in an state and indicate the reason(for such denial.	у
7.	ganization has been debarred	- McREL has not been debared or suspended from ordoing business with any local, state, or federal ssgovernment or government agency.
8.	Information as to whether the dr ganization has been approved as teacher and/or principal evaluatio service provider in another stat and specify such state(s).	s aNorth Carolina In Oklahoma



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - SERVICE SUMMARY (INFORMATIONALONLY)

1.	Name of organization:	Mid-continent Research for
		Education and Learning (McREL)
	Primary location:	Denver, Colorado
	Contact information:	303.337.0990
	(phone / email / website):	info@mcrel.org
		www.mcrel.org
	LEAs where service will be provided (or is in- tended to be provided):	All
2.	The number of years the provider has delivered service:	
3.	Title of the Teacher and/or Principal Rubric	McREL Principal Evaluation
	Evaluation model to besed (if appropriate):	System
4.	Professional population that the provider has	Teacher evaluation system serves
	served, and that they ancequesting to serve (i.e.	core content and teachers of non-
	teachers, principals, admin., etc.):	tested subject areas.
		Principal evaluation system serves
		principals/assistant principals and
		other administrative non-teaching
		personnel.
5.	• •	eFeachers = 130,000
	•	toolincipals/Assistant Principals =
	(approximately):	9,300
6.	Number of teacher and/ pr incipal evaluation in structional sessions provid ee r year, if applicable	
		days
		Additional technical support
		sessions (in-person or webinar) are
		available upon request
		Recommended professional devel-
		opment in subsequent years of im
		plementation:
		Continuing teachers and principals:
		minimum of 1 day.
		New to the system: 2 days for prin-
		cipals and central office personnel
7.	Average length of each training session for the	and 1 day for teachers 2 days, approximately 14-16 hours
1.	Average length of each training session for the training of evaluators (minutes/hours):	2 days, approximately 14-10 hours

If approved as a provider of Teacher and/or Principal Practice Rubrics, we are prepared to provide services to:



Please indicate by clicking orthe appropriate boxes below: <u>All</u> Districts/LEAs in the State of New York, or

All DISTICTS/LEAS IN THESTATE OF NEW FOR, O

Only to those eligible Districts/LEAs indicated below: